



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed amending the recitation "an elastic conducting means" in claim 14, line 19, as the recitation was invoking 35 USC 112(6) paragraph without setting forth the function. It was agreed that claim 15 be inserted into claim 14 such that claim 14 no longer invokes 35 USC 112(6) paragraph. It was also agreed that the term "metallic" in claim 15 be deleted to broaden the helical spring in claim 14. It was agreed that the term "can bear" in claim 22, line 3, is to be amended to --bears-- and the reference to "elastic conducting means" in claim 22, line 3, be amended to the helical spring. Claim 28 was also discussed as it depends from a cancelled claim 27. It was agreed to make claim 28 depended from claim 14.